Assessment of deficit irrigation impact on agronomic parameters and water use efficiency of six chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum* L.) cultivars under Mediterranean semi-arid climate
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Abstract. Six kabuli chickpea genotypes (*Cicer Arietinum* L.) were evaluated under three water levels at the open field during February - June 2018. This study was conducted to evaluate the chickpea water stress, on soil water dynamic, agronomical traits, and water use efficiency to estimate variability levels between varieties and to identify the varieties of chickpea adaptable on semi-arid bioclimatic stage. For this purpose, a trial was conducted at the Higher Agronomic Institute of Chott Mariem (Tunisia). There is no effect of the treatment on the height, biological yield, and branching number. The seeds weigh, PCG, seed yield, harvest index, and water use efficiency relative to seed have the highest value in T1 (100% of Etc) when water use efficiency relative to biological yield, number of pods and of seeds recorded the highest values in T3 (50% of Etc). Univariate analysis showed highly significant differences between genotypes for many traits. Principal Component Analysis was performed for all traits and allowed to define two axes. The first one explains 49.30% of the variability of the total trait and was formed by genotypes ‘Beja’, ‘Nayer’ and ‘Rebha’. Genotypes forming this axe are closely related to each other according to their common morphological characters like height (r=0.88), biological yield (r=0.93), bringing the number (r=0.53), seed yield (r=0.81), WUE relative to seed (r=0.75), harvest index (r=0.65) and WUE relative to biological yield (r=0.94). The second clustered genotypes ‘Bochra’ and ‘Nour’. This second axe (27.99%) is represented by pods number (r=0.87), seed number (r=0.87) and PCG (r=0.78).
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INTRODUCTION

The sustainability of agricultural production depends on conservation and appropriate use and management of water resources. Water scarcity exacerbated by climate change is expected to define food production in the coming decades. Recently, water crisis has become one of the most significant problems in the world especially in the Mediterranean region where irrigation is required for improving productivity (Douh et Boujelben, 2011; Rabi et al., 2012). The amount of water available for agriculture in the Mediterranean is decreasing due to pressure from the growing population and an increased frequency of drought. The pressure of using water in agriculture sector is increasing, to create ways to improve water use efficiency and taking a full advantage of available water (Stewar, 2001).

Fabaceae are quality foods given their richness in proteins which can correct the deficit in animal proteins. Besides, they are rich in essential minerals and lysine, so they are complementary to the nutritional profiles of cereals (Bacha et Ounane, 2003). In Tunisia, chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), particularly Kabuli genotypes, is the second pulse crop after fababean. It is grown, in spring rainfed conditions (Wery, 1990), in humid and sub-humid regions, mainly at Bizerte, Mateur, Beja, Jendouba, and Nabeul areas (Ben Mbarek et al., 2011). It is cultivated on an average annual area of 19 650 ha, which represents 1.1% of the areas sown to field crops. Annual production is of the order of 13 520 tonnes with an average yield of 670 kg ha\(^{-1}\). To meet the needs of the concept, the Tunisian government uses imports on the order of 19 000 t year\(^{-1}\), which represents 141% of national production. The chickpea suffers from many difficulties, apart from the environmental conditions and the lack of mastery of cultivation techniques which are not insignificant causes of the weakness of production; it seems that the major problem remains that of an abiotic factor such as the deficiency in phosphorus, salinity, and drought. The latter is a major factor, which in the event of low availability, constrains the production of legume crops. Two types of droughts affect the chickpea crop in Tunisia, a spring caused by the breakdown of rainfall and a terminal one occurs at the end of the crop’s growth cycle due to a lack of rainfall and drying out of water reserves in soil (Wery et al., 1994).

Most of the chickpea crop in the world is produced on residual moisture but supplemental irrigation can enhance production. Especially irrigation during the pre-flowering period and at early pod fill resulted in increased yield at several locations in India (Saxena, 1980). Many studies have been conducted to assess the yield potential of chickpea under different irrigation levels (Ali (2017), Kadam et al. (2014)). Ali (2017) proved that the variety had a significant effect on yield attributes and seed yield. Besides, the highest water use efficiency of 263.01 kg ha\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) was also found in the treatment which received no irrigation. From the results of his study, it is revealed that under the prevailing climatic and soil condition, the chickpea cultivars do not need any irrigation at Magura, rather it reduces yield. Ilhe et al. (2009), conducted field experiment at Ahmednagar, India, to evaluate water production function for chickpea under sprinkler irrigation. They concluded that growing of chickpea resulted in the more seed yield 25.90 q ha\(^{-1}\) and maximum benefit in terms of cost ratio 2.57 as compared to the surface irrigation method.

The main objectives of this study were assessing the best chickpea genotypes which adapt to the central of Tunisia climatic conditions by identifying agronomic attributes whose selection would lead to improvement in chickpea seed yield. Added to that this research help producer to manage their inputs to maximize efficiency of the available water resources. Certainly, the evaluation of varieties from the national chickpea improvement program is of particular interest to ensure food security and help smallholders cope with climate change.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1. Experimental sites

The test was carried out in spring cultivation on a plot of the experimental domain of the Higher Agronomic Institute of Chott Mariem located in the Center East of Tunisia which is part of the semi-arid bioclimatic stage below a latitude of 35°91’ North and a longitude of 10°35’ East, Altitude 19 m above sea level. The climate is semi-arid superior to temperate winter and hot summer. Climatic data during the study period was provided by a meteorological station located 100 m from the experimental site. The monitoring of climatic data was used for irrigation management. Thus, the minimum and maximum temperatures have the respective average values of 10 and 23°C. The relative humidity and the wind speed are 70% and 2.3 m s\(^{-1}\), respectively. This area is characterized by an average annual rainfall and evaporation of 270 mm/year and 1243 mm/year, respectively, and a drought that extends for five months out of twelve (May – September). It is defined by reduced and scarce precipitation, evaporation, and high maximum temperatures.
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Figure 1 shows the daily values of reference evapotranspiration (ET0), which increased with climatic conditions from about 2 mm/day in early March to a maximum of about 6 mm/day at the end of May. Daily rainfall values and Full irrigation levels are also presented.

In this research, we chose six varieties of chickpea of the Kabuli type registered in Tunisia as support to determine the behaviour of its varieties to water stress and its adaptation to the climate. The six varieties are Beja, Rabha, Nayer, Nour, Amdoun and Bouchra. The spring chickpea thrives, mainly, on the water supply in the soil. The latter is gradually exhausted with the development of culture. From the end of the vegetative development phase until maturity, the crop has undergone water stress which affects many parameters by morphological, physiological, and metabolic changes that occur in all the organs of the plant and result in a reduction yield (Cochard et al., 2002).

2. Experimental design

The trial was carried out on a plot of the experimental domain of the Higher Agronomic Institute Chott Mariem. Sowing was carried out in February with a density of 12.5 plants/m² with a spacing of 0.2 m between rows and 0.4 m on the row. Each elementary plot was made up of nine lines five meters in length. The experimental setup adopted was in randomized blocks with three replications. The test plots were divided into blocks spaced 1.5 m apart. The previous crop was a worked fallow. Sowing took place on February 26 and harvest took place on June 18.

3. Soil characterization

The method used is the particle size analysis method by sedimentation (the ROBINSON pipette). The pipette apparatus conforms to NEN5357 and ISO/DIS 11,277. The method is based on the difference in sedimentation rates between light particles and larger ones.

3.1. Electrical conductivity

By determining the electrical conductivity (EC) of the soil, we can deduce the salinity of the soil extract. The laboratory preparation with the soil saturation phase after air-drying is considered. The principle of this method is to take a sample of soil (200 g) with distilled water until saturation is reached and to extract the filtrate by vacuum filtration. The EC of this extract is thus measured by a conductometer.

\[ S = 0.64 \times EC \]  

With: – \( S \): Salinity of the measured sample (g/l). 
– \( EC \): Electrical conductivity (mS/cm).

Three replications are considered for each depth 20, 40, and 60 cm.

3.2. Hydrodynamic properties of the soil

Soil Water content (SWC)

The measurements of the water content by weight are made before and after each irrigation by layer [0–20 cm], [20–40 cm], and [40–60 cm]. The samples are excreted from the soil by the auger and brought to the laboratory for weighing in a fresh state and placed in an oven for 24 hours to then determine the dry mass of each sample. The water content by weight in each sample corresponds to the ratio of its mass of water to its mass in the fresh state. This water content is multiplied by the bulk density to determine the volume of water content.

Water content at field capacity (\( \theta_f \))

The water content at field capacity represents the maximum amount of water that the soil can hold. It is determined in the laboratory with a pressure cooker. The determination of the water content at the field capacity was made with a pressure cooker.

Water content at permanent wilting point (\( \theta_{pwp} \))

The moisture content at the permanent wilting point is the moisture in the soil from which the plant can no longer draw water, and wilts then die if this moisture
level continues. The determination of the wilting point was made with a pressure cooker (15 atm).

4. Irrigation and crop water requirement

Three irrigation levels were applied to the crop to study the behavior of the six varieties to water stress. The irrigation system used is the drip irrigation system with integrated drippers delivering a flow rate of 4 l h⁻¹. The spacing between the drippers is 40 cm while that between the ramps is 20 cm.

Field data from the Regional Research center on Horticulture and Organic Agriculture of Chott Meriem weather station were used to estimate reference evapotranspiration and to calculate crop water requirements using CROPWAT 8.0 model. The reference evapotranspiration was used to simulate optimal irrigation schedule. Irrigation water supplies are made based on the crop’s potential evapotranspiration (ETc). The crop coefficient (Kc) and the duration of the physiological phases of chickpea adopted are those used by the FAO 56. In addition, the reported information on climate, soil and crop constituted the input data. At the field studies, various irrigation treatments were applied to chickpea crops, full irrigation T1 corresponds to 100% of ETc and deficit irrigation T2 and T3 respectively 75 and 50% of ETc (Table 1).

CROPWAT Model input parameters are:
- Climate: temperature, rainfall, wind speed, relative air humidity, solar radiation
- Crop: Kc, Maximum rooting depth, area covered by plant,
- Soil: Initial soil moisture, Daily Soil Moisture, Deficit soil condition

5. Agronomic parameters related to vegetative development

5.1. Plant height

The height growth of continuously driven plants was measured using a graduated ruler at each vegetative stage on six plants of each variety and each treatment.

5.2. Root dry matter rate (RDMR)

The root mass of the sacrificed plants, carefully rinsed with distilled water and wrung out with filter paper, was weighed, using a laboratory precision balance, in the fresh state and the dry state after drying in an oven at 80° C for 48 hours. The RDMR (%) is calculated by the formula:

\[ \text{RDMR} = \frac{Dw}{Fw} \times 100 \]  (2)

With:
Dw: the weight of the dry matter of the roots of the sacrificed plants (g).
Fw: the fresh weight of the roots of the sacrificed plants (g).

5.3. Root fineness (RF)

In response to nutrient-limiting conditions, plants may increase root fineness or specific root length. Root fitness is defined as the root length per gram root weight. It is calculated by the following formula:

\[ \text{RF} = \frac{Rl}{Dw} \]  (3)

With:
Rl: the length of the root system of the sacrificed plants (cm);
Dw: the weight of the dry matter of the roots of the sacrificed plants (g).

These measurements were made at the end of the growing season with 15 plants per treatment and per variety.

5.4. Above-ground biomass (AB)

It is the product of the mass of the aerial part per plant, weighed at harvest, by the density of the seedling.

5.5. Leaf area index (LAI)

At the end of each stage, chickpea plants, at the rate of three plants per variety and treatment, are removed. Leaf area is defined as the area of green leaves in a plant canopy. This operation is carried out by a rectangular grid of length 1.2 m and width 0.8 m, composed of 96 elementary sections of dimensions 10 cm by 10 cm (figure 2). It consists of fixing the grid on two lines of each processing; we always try not to change the location of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative precipitation (mm)</th>
<th>Irrigation level (mm)</th>
<th>Total water consumption (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 68</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 68</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 68</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5.6. Agronomic parameters related to production

The following parameters were measured on ten plants selected at random for each variety and each treatment:

- pods/plant: the number of pods per plant at harvest, the pods of each plant were removed and counted; pod weight (g/plant): this is the product of the weight of pods harvested per plant and the density of the sowing;
- The number of seeds per plant were counted and divided with total number of pods recorded from each plant to obtain number of seeds/pod.
- SY: the seed yield was recorded from each plot and expressed as kg ha⁻¹: the weight of the seeds collected per plant, from each plot after the color of the plant and pod turned yellow, is extrapolated to the hectare;
- PCG: the weight of 100 grains was recorded from each plot and expressed in gram (g);
- HI: Harvest index defined as the ratio of the weight of the seeds (SY) harvested to that of the biological yield (BY) per plant. It is calculated according to the formula of Yoshida (1981) as follows:

\[ HI = \frac{SY}{BY} \]  

SY: Seed Yield (kg ha⁻¹);
BY: Biological Yield (kg ha⁻¹).

5.7. Water use efficiency (WUE)

It defines the quantity of production obtained by a unit of water used. It is calculated considering organic and seed yields. From this, we can distinguish the efficiency of use of dry matter and seed water. This notion takes into account the need to maximize production per unit of available water in the context of increasing food demand and limited water resources (Molden et al., 2010). Siddique et al., (2001), clarified that the WUE could be determined according to the dry matter yield according to the formula:

\[ WUE_{bio} = \frac{DW}{ETc} \]  

WUE bio: Biological Water Use Efficiency (g mm⁻¹)
DW: Dry Weight (g)
ETc: Crop evapotranspiration (mm)

According to Bamouh (1998), WUEDW is probably the most suitable for arid and semi-arid zones since in these regions the yield of straw is important or even more than that of seeds. The WUE can also be determined according to the biological yield (organic WUE) (Oweis et al., 2004) or according to the seed yield (the WUE s) according to the formula:

\[ WUE_s = \frac{SY}{ETc} \]  

WUE s: Seed Water Use Efficiency (kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹)
SY: Seed Yield (kg ha⁻¹)
ETc: Crop evapotranspiration (mm)

6. Statistical analysis

The data was subject to obtained underwent analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the procedure (GLM), for General Linear Model was conducted using SPSS software (version 23). The means fitted to the model (LSMEANS) were calculated for each treatment through the Student-Newman-Keuls test (SNK) at the 5% threshold for the comparison of the means.

The present study was aimed to evaluate the agronomic parameters of chickpea for identify and rank important traits and genotype based on Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for evolving better hybrid in

Figure 2. Determination of the soil cover rate per plant.
chickpea adapted to semi-arid climatic conditions. The result of PCA explained the genetic diversity among the chickpea genotypes.

Ascending Hierarchical Classification (AHC) is an algorithm that groups similar objects into groups called clusters. The endpoint is a set of clusters, where each cluster is distinct from each other cluster, and the objects within each cluster are broadly similar to each other.

PCA and AHC were performed with XLSTAT software.

RESULTS

1. Physical and hydrodynamic characteristics of the soil

The soils of Chott Mariem have been described in three horizons [0–20 cm], [20–40 cm], and [40–60 cm] since there was a change in color of the layers at different depths. As the soil texture is relatively balanced and homogeneous, almost the same parameters as water content at permanent wilting point (θpwp) and field capacity (θfc), saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ks) were recorded for all three horizons. The results are presented in Table 2.

According to the United States Department of Agriculture soil classification (USDA, 1951), all three layers of Chott Mariem soil belong to the same sandy-clay textural class. Thus, the soil is homogeneous, leading to the same hydrodynamic behavior. Up to 60 cm deep, the presence of abundant roots from previous crops and biological activity acting on soil life and fertility for the benefit of the crop is reported.

2. Soil water content Evolution at different depths

The variation of the SWC at different depths (20, 40 and 60 cm) and for different treatments T1, T2 and T3 respectively 100, 75 and 50% of ETc are illustrated in figure 3 (a, b and c). The three curves present the same appearance. The fluctuations are due to the inflow of water. The volume water content in the soil for T1 and T2, has a significant variation, at 60 cm depth ranging from 6 to 32%, while, at the depth of 20 and 40 cm, it varies between 10 to 35% with a slight difference less than 5%. The values of the SWC T3 are almost constant with a slight difference of less than 7%, which is relatively more stable than T1 and T2. Indeed, the present study has shown that the water content of the soil increases after water supply (either by irrigation or by rain). However, it decreases over time as the crop’s water needs increase. This decrease in water content indicates that the chickpea was more stressed during the ripening phase than at other phases. This phase coincided with the end of May until mid-June during which rain was scarce and even absent. All water supplies are by irrigation during this period 88.12 mm. The results show that the soil moisture is more stable and more uniform for the T3 treatment than the T1 and T2 treatments with a slight difference. The analysis of the variance of SWC allowed us to conclude that there is a significant difference between the treatments at the threshold of α = 5%. This is because the SNK test classified the water content into two groups. The first group consists of the T1 treatment, and the second class contains the T3 and T2 treatments. A comparison of the mean reveals two homogeneous groups that interfere with each other. The highest SWC is reached with the full irrigation at the soil surface 0–20 cm, while the lowest value is recorded at 60 cm depth with 50% of water requirements.

3. Agronomorphologic parameters

Figure 4 shows the variation in the height of chickpea varieties depending on the water levels. The length of the stem is proportional to the irrigation levels and varies from 34 to 50.33 cm. The comparison of the means showed that three homogeneous groups interfere with each other, and which represent the three irrigation levels, except for Amdoun and Bouchra which did not show any significant difference between T2 and T3. For the deficit irrigation T3, Nayer and Amdoun varieties are characterized by the longest stems and have respectively 45.83 and 45.17 cm while Rabha, Beja and Nour are characterized by the shorter stems respectively 34, 34.5 and 36 cm. The other varieties have stems of intermediate lengths. As a result, it is possible to use the stem length trait as a criterion for identifying the variety most sensitive to water stress. In our case, we can say that the Amdoun and Nayer varieties are the most resistant to water stress while the Rabha, Beja, and Nour varieties are the most sensitive to water stress.

Figure 5 shows the leaf area index according to the irrigation levels. The comparison of the means showed
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that two homogeneous groups represent the three irrigation levels (A and B). The comparison of the means showed that the highest leaf area index is 3.39 and recorded with the full irrigation (T1 treatment); while the lowest index is 1.83 and recorded at processing level T3. The leaf area index of chickpea varieties ranges from 2.32 to 3.63. The comparison of the means showed that there is a single homogeneous group that represents the six varieties. Similar values indicate that there is no varietal variability for this parameter. At the interaction level (Varieties × Irrigation levels), the leaf area index varies from 1.23 to 4.32. It is highest with T1 in Rabha and the lowest with the 50% level of ETc in Bouchra. The comparison of the leaf area index of the chickpea varieties under the different irrigation levels showed two homogeneous groups (A and B). The first group, characterized by high LAI, consists of Rabha, Nayer, Nour, Amdoun and plugged with the irrigation level 100% of ETc; Beja, Nayer, Nour, Amdoun and Bouchra with the irrigation level 75% of ETc and Nayer with the lowest level of ETc 50%. The second group, characterized by low LAI, is made up of Beja with the 100% level of ETc, Rabha with

Figure 3. SWC for different treatments T1, T2 and T3, at different depths 20, 40 and 60 cm respectively (a), (b) and (c).

Fig. 4. Mean values of the stem height as a function of the interaction's varieties × Irrigation level.

Fig. 5. Mean values of LAI as a function of the interaction's varieties × Irrigation level.
the 75% level of ETc and Beja, Rabha, Nour, Amdoun and Bouchra with the level. 50% of ETc. We can see that the Nayer variety develops even in conditions of water deficit.

Figure 6 shows the variation in the length of the root system as a function of interactions (varieties × irrigation levels). The interaction (varieties × irrigation levels) has no significant effect on the length of the root system. But comparing the means has shown that two homogeneous groups interfere with each other. The longest root system is produced by the Nayer variety with the 100% rate of ETc (18 cm); while the shortest root system is produced by the Nour variety with the 50% level of ETc.

Figure 7 presents a comparison of the variations in root fineness values as a function of interactions (varieties × irrigation levels). The fineness of the root or specific length of the roots is proportional to the irrigation levels. The comparison of means showed that there is only one homogeneous group. With all three irrigation levels, the RF values are considered similar. But a slight increase is noted with the lower level (50% of ETc). RF plays an important role in the drought resistance of plants. The comparison of the average RF values showed that it is proportional to the variety and reveals three homogeneous groups that interfere with each other. For the full irrigation, the highest value of RF is produced by the Bouchra variety with 67.8 cm g⁻¹, the lowest value is produced by Beja 20.5 cm g⁻¹. While for the deficit irrigation 50% of the crop evapotranspiration the highest value of RF is produced by Amdoun, Rebha and Beja which recorded an average of 40.1 cm g⁻¹ and the lowest are for Nayer 11.4 cm g⁻¹.

The variation in the dry matter content in the roots is shown in Figure 8. The RDMR values vary between 14.6 and 32.2% for T1, 28.0 and 42.7% for T2 and 24.6 and 33.6% for T3. Indeed, the more the plant is subjected to water stress, the more the plant develop-
ops its root system to extract water from the soil. The RDMR varies depending on the variety of chickpea. The comparison of means revealed two homogeneous groups that interfere with each other. For T1, the root system of the Nayer variety is the richest (32.2%), while Rabha is the poorest in the dry matter (14.6%). Statistical analysis using the SNK test allowed us to detect a highly significant difference between the different irrigation treatments for each variety except for the Bouchra where the RDMR is not affected by the irrigation levels.

4. Agronomic parameters related to production

The number of seeds per plant varies with the irrigation treatment. The comparison of the mean revealed two homogeneous groups. The first one consists of T1 and T2 (100% and 75% of ETc) while the lowest level (50%) is presented by the second group. Under the different irrigation levels, the weight of 100 seeds varies from 14.479 ± 4.75 to 36.259 ± 10.22 g. The comparison of averages revealed three groups that interfere with each other. The highest PCG was recorded for full irrigation. These results indicate that the 50% irrigation level of ETc caused intense water stress which greatly reduced pod filling and resulted in the formation of empty pods and stunted seeds. Under the effect Irrigation level, the highest seed yield values were recorded for full irrigation, and it varies from 220.229 ± 51.13 kg ha⁻¹ (T1) to 490.667 ± 16.61 kg ha⁻¹ (T3). The water use efficiency relative to biological yield is inversely proportional to the irrigation level. The comparison of means revealed three homogeneous groups. The first group contains the highest values of 0.125 ± 0.029 g/mm found with the lowest level (50% of the ETc), the second group contains the intermediate values (0.090 ± 0.01 g/mm) recorded by the irrigation level 75% of the ETc while the last group includes the values of 0.067 ± 0.02g/mm recorded by the full irrigation.

Table 3 showed that, statistically, there is no effect of the treatment on the plant height, biological yield, and branching number. The PCG (weight of 100 seeds (g/100 seeds)), seed yield per hectare (SY), harvest index, and water use efficiency relative to seed have the highest value in T1 (100% of ETc) when water use efficiency relative to biological yield, number of pods and seeds recorded the highest values in T3 (50% of ETc).

The more the plant is subject to water stress, the higher the number of pods per plant and the higher the number of seeds, while seed weights are low and consequently seed yield and harvest index are negatively affected by water stress.

Principal Component Analysis and individual variations

Data were considered in each component with Eigen value > 1 which determined at least 10% of the variation. The higher Eigen values were considered as best representative of system attributes in principal components. Eigen values of five component axes and percentage of variation accounting for them obtained from the principal component analysis are presented in Table 4. The results of the PCA showed that the variables represented 77.29% of the total inertia on the first two axes, which constitutes a strong plan in the discrimination of the variables.

### Table 3. Mean quality Agronomic parameters related to production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm)</td>
<td>36.767 ± 2.32</td>
<td>38.567 ± 2.93</td>
<td>36.233 ± 3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological yield (g)</td>
<td>21.794 ± 5.30</td>
<td>22.199 ± 3.19</td>
<td>20.413 ± 4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branching number</td>
<td>5.283 ± 0.71</td>
<td>4.633 ± 0.64</td>
<td>5.017 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pods/plant</td>
<td>20.333 ± 5.28</td>
<td>19.767 ± 3.09</td>
<td>23.800 ± 3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of seeds</td>
<td>12.183 ± 2.86</td>
<td>12.467 ± 0.83</td>
<td>14.533 ± 2.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeds weight (g)</td>
<td>3.925 ± 0.13</td>
<td>3.317 ± 0.32</td>
<td>1.762 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCG (g/100 seeds)</td>
<td>36.259 ± 10.22</td>
<td>27.774 ± 2.39</td>
<td>14.479 ± 4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SY (kg/ha)</td>
<td>490.667 ± 16.61</td>
<td>414.667 ± 40.34</td>
<td>220.229±51.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvest Index</td>
<td>0.199 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.164 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WUEs (kg/ha/mm)</td>
<td>1.499 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.689 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.346 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WUE bio (g/mm)</td>
<td>0.067 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.090 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.125 ± 0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b and c: present the height classification groups according to the irrigation level. Means followed by the same letters have no significant difference based on the LSD test at 5% error probability.
The higher Eigen values were considered as best representative of system attributes in principal components. Only three components showed more than 1 Eigen value and exhibited about 97.83% cumulative variability, therefore these two PCs were given due important for the further explanation. Analysis of the parameters studied shows that the two axes have respectively 49.30 and 27.99% of the total inertia (Figure 9). Axis 1 (49.30%) is represented by the measurements of height (r = 0.88), biological yield (r = 0.93), bringing the number (r = 0.53), seed yield (r = 0.81), WUE relative to seed (r = 0.75), harvest index (r = 0.65) and WUE relative to biological yield (r = 0.94). For varieties, axis 1 influenced Beja (r = 0.40), Nayer (r = 0.79) and Rebha (r = 0.75). This axis is positively correlated with most of the parameters studied and especially with production. However, Axis 2 (27.99%) is represented by pod number (r = 0.87), seed number (r = 0.87) and PCG (r = 0.78). For varieties, axis 2 influenced Bochra (r = 0.60) and Nour (r = 0.78). This axis represents vegetative development.

### DISCUSSION

From the literature, it is revealed that the response of irrigation to chickpea seed yield depends on the initial soil moisture reserve, atmospheric water demand, and the cultivar. The main objective of the present study was to evaluate the yield potential of new cultivars of chickpea under different soil moisture regimes (Ali, 2017).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eigenvalue</th>
<th>Variability (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>5.423</td>
<td>49.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>3.079</td>
<td>77.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>2.260</td>
<td>97.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>0.197</td>
<td>99.621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.** Eigen value, contribution of variability and Eigen vectors for the principal component axes in chickpea.

**Fig. 9.** Biplot graphical display for WUE of the tested Chickpea genotypes for different levels irrigation.

**Fig. 10.** Ascending Hierarchical Classification of the six studied genotypes of chickpea.

Based on the various measurements carried out, the ascending hierarchical classification made it possible to distinguish three classes (figure 10).

- **Classes 1** Nayer and Beja: They are made up of highly developed individuals, with strong vegetative development and production, having the highest average values for height (40.23 cm), PCG (30.83), SY (387.42), WUEs (1.59), WUE bio (0.11). These two varieties can be adapted to any region and are more tolerant of water stress.

- **Classes 2** Bochra and Nour: They are composed of plants with an average potential, but which have a slight superiority for the parameters Number of seeds per plant (14.43) and Number of Pods (23.33).

- **Class 3** Amdoun and Rebha: This class is made up of fragile individuals, poorly developed relative to plants of other classes with low productive power. These varieties are very sensitive to water stress and can only be grown in areas that have a humid climate.
Mguidiche et al. (2018) study the effect of deficit irrigation on Wheat Yield and Water Use Efficiency in the North of Tunisia and proved that due to the severe climatic conditions and increased crop water requirements root extraction increased, SWC decreases to 14% in all soil profiles at the end of the growing season. Krouma et al. (2015), prove that drought-induced loss in crop yield probably exceeds losses from all other causes, since both the severity and duration of the stress are critical. Added to that Water potential measured in leaves shows a clear decrease of this potential when plants are subjected to drought.

Levit (1980) observed that too much water could adversely affect the growth of aboveground biomass in chickpea, while a water deficit inhibits the growth of stems and leaves. Osmotic regulation can enable the maintenance of cell turgor for survival or assist plant growth under severe drought conditions in pearl millet (Berninger et al., 2000). Aspinal (1986), indicated that the water deficit results in a reduction in the height and diameter of the stem, a shortening of the internodes, and a decrease in the leaf area. The results found are confirmed with those of Slim et al. (2006), who was able to classify chickpea varieties into two groups, notably, tall ones such as Amdoun and short ones such as Beja and Bochra. Ben Naceur et al. (2014), noted that stem height and leaf area of durum wheat were negatively affected by water deficit. Aspinal (1986), indicated that the water deficit results in a reduction in the height and diameter of the stem, a shortening of the internodes, and a decrease in the leaf area. According to Ben Mbarek et al. (2011), the leaf area index of chickpea ranges from 0.5 to 3.5. Daaloul et al. (2007), have shown that root flexibility is reflected in the improvement of the growth of its root system under conditions of water deficit by the allocation of dry matter. This proves the results of the variation in dry matter content in the roots as a function of the irrigation level. LAI results are confirmed with Singh et al. (1995), who reported that at 128 days after sowing, the leaf area index is estimated at 1.1 in the early irrigated treatments and 2.8 in the fully irrigated treatment. Likewise, Sheldrake and Saxena (1979) found a difference between the leaf area indices of a variety of chickpea grown in different areas and attributed this difference to climatic conditions and more specifically to rainfall.

These results indicate that the root fineness is dependent on the variety (genetic dependence) which is confirmed by Daaloul et al. (2007) who indicated the predominance of genetic control over root fineness. Albouchi et al., (2003), indicated that, under conditions of water stress, the growth of the aerial parts of stressed plants is more affected than that of the roots. Under such conditions, the response of a plant results in a preferential supply of biomass to the roots. Pacucci et al., (2006) indicated that additional irrigation of chickpeas, applied at the flowering and pod filling stages, increased the number of seeds by 14 to 27%. Gan et al., (2004) noticed that chickpea of the kabuli type produces a high number of empty pods. Early in pod formation, water stress increases the abortion rate and reduces chickpea pod production. The filling of chickpea pods strongly depends on climatic conditions and varies from 9 to 57% (Pundir et al., 1992). Lawlor and Cornic (2002) indicate that determination of water status and relations in plants demonstrated that drought decreased water potential, relative water content and osmotic adjustment. The utilization of leaf root water content as an indicator of the plant water status is usually.

According to Tiznado et al. (2012), the weight of 100 field-grown Kabuli-type chickpea seeds is highly variable and ranges from 28 to 70g. With the different levels of irrigation, the weights of 100 seeds are different. It is highest with the 100% ETc irrigation level and lowest with the 50% ETc level. Moinuddin and Khanna-Chopra, (2004) noted that the weight of 100 seeds is significantly affected by water stress.

Belhassen et al. (1995) found that the processes involved in developing the seed yield of a crop are influenced by two types of factors: genetic factors, intrinsic to the plant, and environmental factors. The environmental, abiotic stresses that affect a crop can cause considerable yield losses. Ben Mbarek (1990) pointed out that gene expression of potential yield depends on climatic conditions. Singh et al. (1995) noticed that delayed sowing of a chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) crop, carried out in dry or irrigated conditions, allows its potential yield to be determined. With delayed sowing, water stress-tolerant genotypes produce 40–50% of their potential yields (Sabaghpour et al., 2006) ; while susceptible genotypes only produce 10%. Thus, the low seed yields obtained may be due to the effects of high temperature during the cycle. Also, Kamel (1990) stated that thermal and water stress, quite frequent at the end of the crop cycle, limit the yield from 42 to 75%. Gan et al., (2010) reported that the water use efficiency of Kabuli-type chickpeas is 5.3 kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹ or 20% less than the average WUE 6.6 kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹. However, exposing a chickpea crop to a terminal drought shortens its crop cycle and reduces its water use efficiency (Brown et al., 1989).

Condon et al. (2004), indicate that water use efficiency is an important strategy for drought tolerance in crop plants, including chickpea. Pang et al. (2017), showed that a significant amount of genetic variability has been recorded on stressed conditions.

Bingru and Hongwen (2000) have stated that water use efficiency is an important factor in determining resistance to water stress.
CONCLUSION

To increase the production of chickpeas and mitigate the national limited water resources, it would be necessary to resort to a second alternative, which consists of extending the cultivation of this species to areas of semi-arid Tunisia. It is in this context that the present work is based on six varieties of chickpeas most cultivated in Tunisia. From the results found, it is clear that the efficiency of seed water use and biological yield varies with the irrigation level, as well as with the variety. It is important to tell farmers the most adaptable varieties for each bioclimatic region and each irrigation level. Indeed, in the region of Chott Mariem which belongs to the low semi-arid bioclimatic stage, with an amount of 100% ETc the WUE in seeds is almost the same for all six varieties, with a WUE which varies from 1.6; 1.58 and 1.53 kg ha⁻¹ respectively for the three varieties, which recorded a WUE of seeds with extreme values compared to Rabha, Nour, and Bochra varying respectively from 1.5, 1.48 and 1, 46 53 kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹ with an irrigation level of 50% of the ETc. Varieties that have shown tolerance to water stress should be grown on a large scale, in spring cultivation, in different Tunisian bioclimatic stages. Other aspects of this type of crop merit investigation, including the Rhizobium-genotype relationship tolerant to water stress and under conditions of low nitrogen content and the presence of sufficient rhizobia in the soil.
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