



**Citation:** Supanuam, P., Jantarat, S., Kraiprom, T., Buathip, S., Jumrusthanasan, S., Kaewsri, S., Donbundit, N., Buasriyot, P., Thongnetr, W., Phimphan, S., & Tanomtong, A. (2024). The genome of the southern short-horned tree dragon *Acanthosaura meridiona* Trivalairat, Sumontha, Kunya & Chaingkul, 2022 (Squamata, Draconinae) was analyzed using classical and molecular techniques to identify and study its chromosomal and repetitive elements. *Caryologia* 77(3): 3-10. doi: 10.36253/caryologia-2961

Received: Sep 12, 2024

Accepted: Nov 1, 2024

Published: March 25, 2025

© 2024 Author(s). This is an open access, peer-reviewed article published by Firenze University Press (https://www.fupress.com) and distributed, except where otherwise noted, under the terms of the CC BY 4.0 License for content and CC0 1.0 Universal for metadata.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Competing Interests:** The Author(s) declare(s) no conflict of interest.

### ORCID

PS: 0000-0002-2979-9245 SJ: 0009-0006-0376-0808 TK: 0009-0004-7741-7151 PB: 0000-0003-0821-7629 WT: 0009-0000-2598-3144 SP: 0000-0002-4781-1009 AT: 0009-0007-3424-2971 The genome of the southern short-horned tree dragon *Acanthosaura meridiona* Trivalairat, Sumontha, Kunya & Chaingkul, 2022 (Squamata, Draconinae) was analyzed using classical and molecular techniques to identify and study its chromosomal and repetitive elements

Praween Supanuam<sup>a</sup>, Sittisak Jantarat<sup>b,\*</sup>, Thaintip Kraiprom<sup>b</sup>, Somsak Buathip<sup>b</sup>, Sarun Jumrusthanasan<sup>c</sup>, Sarawut Kaewsri<sup>c</sup>, Nattasuda Donbundit<sup>d</sup>, Phichaya Buasriyot<sup>e</sup>, Weera Thongnetr<sup>f</sup>, Sumalee Phimphan<sup>g</sup>, Alongklod Tanomtong<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Biology Program, Faculty of Science, Ubon Ratchathani Rajabhat University, Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand; <sup>b</sup>Program of Biology, Department of Science, Faculty of Science and Technology, Prince of Songkla University, Pattani Campus, Thailand; <sup>c</sup>Biology Program, Faculty of Science, Buriram Rajabhat University, Buriram, Thailand; <sup>d</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand; <sup>e</sup>Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Rajamangala University of Technology Suvarnabhumi, Nonthaburi, Thailand; <sup>f</sup>Division of Biology, Department of Science, Faculty of Science and Technology, Rajamangala University of Technolgy Suvarnabhumi, Nonthaburi, Thailand; <sup>f</sup>Division of Biology, Department of Science, Faculty of Science and Technology, Rajamangala University of Technology Krungthep, Bangkok, Thailand; <sup>g</sup>Biology Program, Faculty of Science and Technology, Phetchabun Rajabhat University, Phetchabun, Thailand.

\*Corresponding author: sitthisak.j@psu.ac.th

**Abstract.** The cytogenetics of the southern short-horned tree dragon (*Acanthosaura meridiona*) are not reported yet. This study describes the karyotype of *Acanthosaura meridiona* Trivalairat, Sumontha, Kunya & Chaingkul, 2022 from southern Thailand. We using Giemsa staining, Ag-NOR banding, and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) techniques using microsatellites  $d(CA)_{15}$ ,  $d(TA)_{15}$ ,  $d(CGG)_{10}$ , and  $d(CAA)_{10}$  probes to analyze the chromosome. The karyotype of the *A. meridiona* is 2n = 34 chromosomes (fundamental number of 46), of which 5 pairs were large metacentric chromosome formula:  $2n=34=L^{m}_{10}+S^{m}_{4}+20mi$ ). There are no sex differences in karyotypes between males and females. The NORs loci were on pair 5 of the large metacentric macrochromosomes. The FISH technique showed  $d(CA)_{15}$  and  $d(CAA)_{10}$  repeats on specific regions microchromosomes, while signals of  $d(TA)_{15}$  and  $d(CAA)_{10}$  repeats interspersed on macro- and microchromosomes. This study is significant for enhances our comprehension of the evolutionary mechanism of agamid lizards and promotes the conservation of biodiversity in tropical rainforests.

Keywords: *Acanthosaura meridiona*, chromosome marker, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), microsatellite pattern, Draconinae.

# INTRODUCTION

The agamid lizards belonging to the genus *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831, possess spinose scales with spines on heads and above eyes, along with a prominent spiky crest down their spine (Grismer 2011). All of these species are active during the day and live in trees of Southeast Asia's forested areas, including Myanmar, Thailand, Cambodia, Laos, Vietnam, Yunnan, the Indochinese and Thai-Malay Peninsula, Sumatra, and the Anambas and Natunus Archipelagos (Ananjeva et al. 2008; Manthey 2008; Grismer 2011). The genus *Acanthosaura* currently contains 20 species (Ananjeva et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2020; Trivalairat et al. 2022; Liu et al. 2022; Uetz and Hallermann. 2024).

Currently, there are seven species of Acanthosaura in Thailand, namely, A. armata, A. aurantiacrista, A. cardamomensis, A. crucigera, A. lepidogaster, A. meridiona, and A. phuketensis (Uetz and Hallermann. 2024). Acanthosaura meridiona is present in Trang Province, Krabi Province, Nakhon Si Tammarat Province, Songkhla Province, Surat Thani Province, Satun Province, Thailand. Acanthosaura meridiona is similar to A. crucigera and was previously regarded as an identical species. Wood et al. (2010) found that the southern population of A. crucigera exhibited different characteristics that were not present in the true A. crucigera population from western Thailand. Nevertheless, A. cf. crucigera from the southern population has undergone separation into A. meridiona (Trivalairat et al. 2022), with the Phuket mountain range acts as a barrier separating the two species. Acanthosaura is possible that the extent of variety within this genus is still underestimated. Therefore, cytogenetic research on agamid lizards must achieve greater precision in species differentiation.

Information about karyotypes in *Acanthosaura* only concerns one report in *A. armata* with conventional technique. The karyotypes of Draconinae vary from 2n=32 to 2n=46, with both macrochromosomes and microchromosomes, and absence of sex chromosomes (Ota and Hikida 1989; Sharma and Nakhasi 1980; Li et al. 1981; Ota 1988; Solleder and Schmid 1988; Kritpetcharat et al. 1999; Diong et al. 2000; Ota et al. 2002; Singh and Banerjee 2004; Zongyun et al. 2004; Patawang et al. 2015).

This study looks at the cytogenetic points of view that constitute useful tools of genetic sex chromosome systems, different evolutionary lineages and to delineate evolutionary trends in a great number of taxa (Mezzasalma et al. 2021 and Mezzasalma et al. 2024). This paper first describes *Acanthosaura meridiona*'s chromosomal features, using conventional staining, Ag-NOR banding, and fluorescence in situ hybridization techniques.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five adult male and five female specimens of barred gliding lizard (*Acanthosaura meridiona*) were collected from Ban Wang Sai, Mae Wat subdistrict, Than To District, in Yala Province, Thailand. The agamid lizards were transferred to the laboratory and identified according to the morphological criteria (Chan-Ard et al. 2015; Das 2015). Experiments were performed in accordance with ethical protocols, as approved by the Ethics Committee of Prince of Songkla, Pattani Campus (Ref.AI001/2024).

Chromosomes were directly prepared *in vivo* (Patawang et al. 2018) as follows. Metaphasic and meiotic chromosomes were obtained from bone marrow and testis, according the colchicine-hypotonic-fixation-air drying technique (provide references). The chromosomes were stained with 20% Giemsa's for 30 minutes, AgNOR staining was conducted according to Howell and Black (1980). Chromosomal checks were performed on mitotic metaphase cells under light microscope.

FISH experiments were performed with microsatellite sequences, specifically  $(TA)_{15}$ ,  $(CA)_{15}$ ,  $(CAA)_{10}$ , and  $(CGG)_{10}$  using high stringency conditions (Yano et al. 2017). The sequences were directly labeled by Cy3 at the 5'end (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) as described by Kubat et al. (2008). FISH was performed under stringent conditions and hybridization in a moist chamber at 37 °C overnight (Sassi et al. 2023). Chromosomes were counterstained with 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI, 1.2 µg/ml) mounted in antifade solution (Vector, Burlingame, CA, USA,) (Aiumsumang et al. 2021; Patawang et al. 2022; Prasopsin et al. 2022; Thongnetr et al. 2022a).

At least 20 metaphase spreads per individual were analyzed to confirm the diploid number, karyotype structure, NORs and FISH data. Chromosomes were classified according to centromere position as metacentric (m), submetacentric (sm), acrocentric (a), and telocentric (t) (Turpin and Lejeune 1965). For the chromosomal arm number (NF; fundamental number); m, sm and a were scored as bi-armed while t as mono-armed. The microchromosomes are chromosomes that are 5 times less long than the largest pair of chromosomes (Patawang et al. 2016; 2017; 2018).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Mitotic chromosome features from Giemsa staining

Agamidae includes 585 species, of which 94 have been karyologically investigated (Mezzasalma et al. 2024). Karyotypes are discontinuous with a variable chromosome number of macro- and/or micro-chromo-

**Table 1.** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosomes (LT), relative length (RL), centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) from 20 metaphases of male and female of the southern short-horned tree dragon (*Acanthosaura meridiona*) 2n=34.

| Chro.<br>Pair | Ls<br>(µm) | Ll<br>(µm) | LT<br>(µm) | RL±SD.              | CI±SD. –            | Chro.           |             |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|
|               |            |            |            |                     |                     | Size            | Туре        |
| 1             | 2.022      | 2.772      | 4.794      | $0.165 \pm 0.014$   | 0.575±0.029         | Large           | metacentric |
| 2             | 1.634      | 1.736      | 3.371      | $0.117 \pm 0.006$   | $0.517 {\pm} 0.021$ | Large           | metacentric |
| 3             | 1.411      | 1.794      | 3.205      | $0.110 {\pm} 0.007$ | $0.556 {\pm} 0.031$ | Large           | metacentric |
| 4             | 1.371      | 1.684      | 3.055      | $0.106 \pm 0.005$   | 0.551±0.026         | Large           | metacentric |
| 5*            | 1.270      | 1.509      | 2.779      | $0.096 \pm 0.004$   | $0.543 {\pm} 0.025$ | Large           | metacentric |
| 6             | 1.059      | 1.136      | 2.195      | $0.076 \pm 0.003$   | $0.516 {\pm} 0.021$ | Small           | metacentric |
| 7             | 0.982      | 1.082      | 2.064      | $0.071 {\pm} 0.004$ | $0.524 \pm 0.022$   | Small           | metacentric |
| 8             | 0.000      | 0.813      | 0.813      | $0.029 \pm 0.002$   | $1.000 \pm 0.000$   | microchromosome |             |
| 9             | 0.000      | 0.762      | 0.762      | $0.027 \pm 0.003$   | $1.000 \pm 0.000$   | microchromosome |             |
| 10            | 0.000      | 0.761      | 0.761      | $0.027 \pm 0.005$   | $1.000 \pm 0.000$   | microchromosome |             |
| 11            | 0.000      | 0.762      | 0.762      | $0.027 \pm 0.003$   | $1.000 \pm 0.000$   | microchromosome |             |
| 12            | 0.000      | 0.699      | 0.699      | $0.024 \pm 0.003$   | $1.000 \pm 0.000$   | microchromosome |             |
| 13            | 0.000      | 0.679      | 0.679      | $0.024 \pm 0.003$   | $1.000 \pm 0.000$   | microchromosome |             |
| 14            | 0.000      | 0.632      | 0.632      | $0.022 \pm 0.002$   | $1.000 \pm 0.000$   | microchromosome |             |
| 15            | 0.000      | 0.573      | 0.573      | $0.020 \pm 0.003$   | $1.000 \pm 0.000$   | microchromosome |             |
| 16            | 0.000      | 0.540      | 0.540      | $0.019 {\pm} 0.003$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$   | microchromosome |             |
| 17            | 0.000      | 0.469      | 0.469      | $0.016 \pm 0.003$   | $1.000 \pm 0.000$   | microchromosome |             |

\* = NORs bearing chromosomes, Chro. = Chromosome.

somes, namely macrochromosomes ranging from 10 to 28, and microchromosomes from 0 to 24 (Mezzasalma et al. 2024). In Draconinae, based on 21 species reports, karyotypes range from 2n=32- to 46 (Table 2). However, so far the present study first reports the karyotype of *Acanthosaura meridiona*, loci of NORs, and by Fluorescence in situ hybridization the distribution of (TA)<sub>15</sub>, (CA)<sub>15</sub>, (CAA)<sub>10</sub>, and (CGG)<sub>10</sub> microsatellites.

The results revealed that the chromosome number of A. meridiona was 34 (14 macrochromosomes, and 20 microchromosomes). The karyotype comprised ten large metacentric chromosomes, four small metacentric chromosomes, and 20 microchromosomes (Table 1 and Figure 1). This result differs with from that of A. armatus of 2n=32 with 12 metacentric macrochromosomes, 20 microchromosomes. The fundamental number (NF) of A. meridiona and A. armatus was 46 and 44, respectively. It is possible that the different macrochromosome numbers may have been caused by an event of tandem fusion and centromere deletion involving the chromosome number and NF variation. A similar process of autonomous reduction in total chromosomal number through autosome translocation has been reported in other lizard families, including Anguidae, Scincidae, Iguanidae, Gekkonidae, and Phrynosomatidae (Adegoke and Ejere 1991; Trifonov et al. 2015).

There is no evidence of differentiated sex chromosomes in this species which agreeable with all species of Draconinae (Ota and Hikida 1989; Sharma and Nakhasi 1980; Li et al. 1981; Ota 1988; Solleder and Schmid 1988; Kritpetcharat et al. 1999; Diong et al. 2000; Ota et al. 2002; Singh and Banerjee 2004; Zongyun et al. 2004; Patawang et al. 2015). Squamates exhibit a considerable degree of variability in their chromosome sex determination systems. Various families exhibit diverse sex-chromosome systems, which can be either simple or multiple, and include either male (XX/XY) or female (ZZ/ZW) heterogamety. These systems encompass all hypothesized stages of heterogametic sex chromosomes, including homomorphic and pseudo-autosomal to heteromorphic and completely heterochromatic chromosomes (Alam et al. 2018 and Mezzasalma et al. 2021).

# Nucleolar organizer region from Ag-NOR banding

Ag-NOR banding, a species-specific marker, primarily identifies karyotypes. Silver staining, on the other hand, only detects the nucleolar organizer areas that are actively involved in transcription (Silva et al. 2008). The improvement of the Ag-NOR staining method has been very important in comparing NOR variation because it

| Species                         | 2n     | Karyotype   | NOR   | Locality        | References                              |
|---------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------|-----------------|---|
| Acanthosaura armata             | 32     | 12m+20mi    | -     | Malaysia        | Ota et al. (2002)                       |
| A. meridiona                    | 34     | 14m+20mi    | 5qter | Thailand        | This study                              |
| Bronchocela cristatella         | 34     | 14m+20mi    | -     | Singapore       | Ota et al. (2002)                       |
|                                 | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | 2qter | Asia            | Solleder and Schmid (1988)              |
| Calotes emma alticristatus      | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | 2qter | Asia            | Solleder and Schmid (1988)              |
|                                 | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | -     | Thailand        | Kritpetcharat et al. (1999)             |
|                                 | 34     | 12m+22mi    | -     | Malaysia        | Ota et al. (2002)                       |
|                                 | 34     | -           | -     | India           | Singh and Banerjee (2004)               |
| C. jerdoni                      | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | -     | India           | Sharma and Nakhasi (1980)               |
|                                 | 34     | -           | -     | India           | Singh and Banerjee (2004)               |
| C. mystaceus                    | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | 2qter | Asia            | Solleder and Schmid (1988)              |
| ,                               | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | -     | Thailand        | Kritpetcharat et al. (1999)             |
|                                 | 34     | -           | -     | India           | Singh and Banerjee (2004)               |
|                                 | 34     | 10m+2m+22mi | 2qter | Thailand        | Patawang et al. (2015)                  |
| C. versicolor                   | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | 2qter | Asia            | Solleder and Schmid (1988)              |
|                                 | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | -     | Thailand        | Kritpetcharat et al. (1999)             |
|                                 | 34     | 12m+22mi    |       | Singapore       | Ota et al. (2002)                       |
|                                 | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | 2qter | Thailand        | Patawang et al. (2015)                  |
| C. vultuosus                    | 32, 34 | -           | -     | India           | Singh and Banerjee (2004)               |
|                                 | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | -     | India           | Sharma and Nakhasi (1980)               |
| Draco cornutus                  | 34     | 16m+18mi    | -     |                 |   |
| D. haematopogon                 | 34     | 16m+18mi    | -     | Malaysia        | Ota and Hikida (1989)                   |
| D. quinquefasciatus             | 34     | 16m+18mi    | -     |                 |   |
| Diploderma splendidum           | 34     | 12m+22mi    | -     | China           | Zongyun et al. (2004)                   |
| D. swinhonis                    | 36     | 10bi+26a    | -     | Central Taiwan  | Ota (1988)                              |
|                                 | 40     | 6bi+34a     | -     | Central Taiwan  | × , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
|                                 | 46     | 46a         | -     | Northern Taiwan |   |
| Gonocephalus<br>chamaeleontinus | 42     | 22m+20mi    | -     |                 |   |
| G. liogaster                    | 42     | 22m+20mi    | -     |                 |   |
| G. bellii                       | 42     | 22m+20mi    | -     | Malaysia        | Diong et al. (2000)                     |
| G. grandis                      | 42     | 22m+20mi    | -     |                 |   |
| G. robinsonii                   | 32     | 12m+20mi    | -     |                 |   |
| Japalura variegata              | 34     | -           | -     | India           | Singh and Banerjee (2004)               |
| J. varcoae                      | 34     | 12m+22mi    | -     | China           | Li et al. (1981)                        |
| Ptyctolaemus gularis            | 34     | 12m/sm+22mi | -     | India           | Sharma and Nakhasi (1980)               |

Table 2. Comparative chromosome studies of subfamily Draconinae.

Note: 2n: diploid chromosome number, m: metracentric, sm: submetracentric, a: acrocentric, bi: biarms, mi: microchromosome, and qter: long arm of chromosome.

lets us find the metaphase chromosomal locations that are linked to NOR. The present study, the chromosome markers of *A. meridiona* observable NORs on the telomeric region of large metacentric macrochromosome pair 5<sup>th</sup> (Figure 2). Similarly, the previous report of NOR position in Draconinae was located on telomeric region of q-arm in 4 species of *Calotes* consisting of *C. cristatellus, C. emma, C. mystaceus,* and *C. versicolor* (Solleder and Schmid 1988; Patawang et al. 2015). However, findings from both traditional and molecular cytogenetics suggest that the location of NOR loci on microchromosomes is usually thought of as an ancestral trait in most families and genera (Mezzasalma et al. 2021; Waters et al. 2021; Deakin and Ezaz 2019).

# Microsatellite pattern

Microsatellites, also known as simple sequence repeats (SSRs), are short DNA sequences consisting of



**Figure 1.** Metaphase plates and standardized karyotypes of male (A.), female (B.) and Idiogram (C.) of the southern short-horned tree dragon, *Acanthosaura meridiona*, 2n=34 by conventional staining.



**Figure 2.** Metaphase plates and standardized karyotypes of male (A.), female (B.) and Idiogram (C.) of the southern short-horned tree dragon, *Acanthosaura meridiona*, 2n=34 by Ag-NOR banding, arrows indicate NORs.

1–6 base pairs. They are distinguished by the presence of repetitive units, which can range from 4 to 40 repeats in a sequence (Tautz and Renz 1984; Ellegren 2004; Chistiakov et al. 2006). They appear either dispersed or clustered in euchromatin and heterochromatin regions, widely distributed across eukaryotic genomes. They show



**Figure 3.** Metaphase plates and hybridization patterns with microsatellite probes  $d(CA)_{15}$  (A.),  $d(CGG)_{10}$  (B.),  $d(TA)_{15}$  (C.), and  $d(CAA)_{10}$  (D.) (red signals) on metaphase plates of the southern short-horned tree dragon, *Acanthosaura meridiona*, 2n=34, chromosomes were counterstained with DAPI (blue).

**Table 3.** The hybridization patterns with microsatellite probes  $d(CA)_{15}$ ,  $d(CGG)_{10}$ ,  $d(TA)_{15}$ , and  $d(CAA)_{10}$  of the southern shorthorned tree dragon (*Acanthosaura meridiona*).

| Probe                | Signal                     |
|----------------------|----------------------------|
| d(CA) <sub>15</sub>  | Pair 13 & 15               |
| d(CGG) <sub>10</sub> | Pair 15 & 16               |
| d(TA) <sub>15</sub>  | Throughout genome (weak)   |
| d(CAA) <sub>10</sub> | Throughout genome (strong) |

a significant variation in the number of copies of genetic material (Ellegren 2004). Microsatellite repeat patterns of *A. meridiona* indicated the presence of specific regions on microchromosomes, including pair 13 and 15 with  $d(CA)_{15}$  repeats, and pair 15 and 16 with  $d(CGG)_{10}$ repeats. While  $d(TA)_{15}$  and  $d(CAA)_{10}$ , showed cumulative signals dispersed throughout the chromosomes (Table 3, Figure 3). The microsatellite loci exhibited a significant level of evolutionary advancement. Thus, it is common for many species to have diverse patterns of repeated sequences. Most of them and scattered them across the genome (Thongnetr et al. 2019; 2022a; 2022b; Khawporntip et al. 2024). However, in certain species, they may localize into specific regions (Srikulnath et al. 2009; Alam et al. 2021). Interestingly, signals of the  $d(CA)_{15}$  probe specifically is on a chromosome of pair 13, a finding that is unclear and remains unexplained. This suggests that using Fluorescence in situ Hybridisation (FISH), the process of mapping cDNA or BAC clones to the chromosomes of southern short-horned tree dragon has successfully addressed certain constraints (O'Meally et al. 2009; Alföldi et al. 2011; Srikulnath et al. 2015; Young et al. 2013; Deakin et al. 2016; Badenhorst et al. 2015). By integrating data from several species, one can obtain intra-sequence information that enhances our understanding of the evolution of chromosome in lizards.

In conclusion, we first present the karyotype, NORs and microsatellite  $d(CA)_{15}$ ,  $d(TA)_{15}$ ,  $d(CGG)_{10}$ , and  $d(CAA)_{10}$  patterns on the chromosomes of the southern short-horned tree dragon. Acanthosaura meridiona has 2n=34 chromosomes (14 macrochromosomes, and 20 microchromosomes), NF=46. The karyotype consisting of 5 pairs of large metacentric chromosomes, 2 pairs of large metacentric chromosomes, and 20 microchromosomes. NORs were located on the telomeric region of large metacentric macrochromosome pair 5th. Microsatellite repeat patterns indicated the presence of specific regions on microchromosomes, including pair 13 and 15 with  $d(CA)_{15}$  repeats, and pair 15 and 16 with  $d(CGG)_{10}$ repeats. While  $d(TA)_{15}$  and  $d(CAA)_{10}$ , showed cumulative signals dispersed throughout the chromosomes. This study is valuable for improving our understanding of the evolutionary process of agamid lizards and advocating for biodiversity protection in tropical rainforests. Moreover, we suggest that more species be studied using cytogenetics and that techniques be investigated further to gain a deeper understanding of chromosomal diversity and evolution within this genus.

### ACKONOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by National Science, Research and Innovation Fund (NSRF) and Prince of Songkla University (Ref. No. SAT6701179S).

# REFERENCES

Adegoke JA, Ejere VC. 1991. Description of the chromosomes of three lizard species belonging to the genus *Mabuya* (Scincidae, Reptilia). Caryologia. 44:333– 342.

- Aiumsumang S. Phimphan S. Suwannapoom C, Chaiyasan P, Supiwong W, Tanomtong A. 2021. A comparative chromosome study on five Minnow fishes (Cyprinidae, Cypriniformes) in Thailand. Caryologia 74(1):89-96.
- Alam SM, Sarre SD, Georges A, Ezaz T. 2021. Karyotype Characterisation of two Australian dragon lizards (Squamata: Agamidae: Amphibolurinae) reveals subtle chromosomal rearrangements between related species with similar karyotypes. Cytogenet Genome Res. 160(10):610-624.
- Alam SM, Sarre SD, Gleeson D, Georges A, Ezaz T. 2018. Did lizards follow unique pathways in sex chromosome evolution? Genes. 9(239).
- Alföldi J, Di Palma F, Grabherr M, Williams C, Kong L, Mauceli E, Russell P, Lowe CB, Glor RE, Jaffe JD, and et al. 2011. The genome of the green anole lizard and a comparative analysis with birds and mammals. Nature. 477:587–591.
- Ananjeva NB, Ermakov OA, Nguyen SN, Nguyen TT, Murphy RW, Lukonina SA, Orlov NL. 2020. A new species of *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831 (Squamata: Agamidae) from central highlands, Vietnam. Russ J Herpetol. 27(4):217–230.
- Ananjeva NB, Orlov NL, Kalyabina-Hauf SA. 2008. Species of Acanthosaura Gray, 1831 (Agamidae: Sauria, Reptilia) of Vietnam: results of Molecular and Morphological study. Biol Bull. 35(2):178 –186.
- Badenhorst D, Hillier LW, Literman R, Montiel EE, Radhakrishnan S, Shen Y, Minx P, Janes DE, Warren WC, Edwards SV, and et al. 2015. Physical mapping and refinement of the painted turtle genome (*Chrysemys picta*) inform amniote genome evolution and challenge turtle-bird chromosomal conservation. Genome Biol Evol. 7:2038–2050.
- Chistiakov DA, Hellemans B, Volckaert FAM. 2006. Microsatellites and their genomic distribution, evolution, function and applications: a review with special reference to fish genetics. Aquaculture. 255:1–29. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.11.031.
- Chan-Ard T, Nabhitabhata J, Parr JW. 2015. A field guide to the reptiles of Thailand. NY: Oxford University Press.
- Das I. 2015. Field guide to the reptiles of South-East Asia. London: Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Deakin JE, Edwards MJ, Patel H, O'Meally D, Lian J, Stenhouse R, Ryan S, Livernois AM, Azad B, Holleley CE, and et al. 2016. Anchoring genome sequence to chromosomes of the central bearded dragon (*Pogona vitticeps*) enables reconstruction of ancestral squamate macrochromosomes and identifies sequence content of the Z chromosome. BMC Genomics. 17(447).

- Deakin JE, Ezaz T. 2019. Understanding the evolution of reptile chromosomes through applications of combined cytogenetics and genomics approaches. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 157:7–20. doi: 10.1159/000495974.
- Diong CH, Low MH, Tan EC, Yong HS, Hikida T, Ota H. 2000. On the Monophyly of the Agamid Genus *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825 (Reptilia: Squamata) A Chromosomal Perspective. Curr. Herpetol. 19(2):71-79.
- Ellegren H. 2004. Microsatellites: simple sequences with complex evolution. Nat Rev Genet. 5:435-445. doi: 10.1038/nrg1348.
- Grismer LL. 2011. Lizards of Peninsular Malaysia, Singapore and their Adjacent Archipelagos, Edition Chimaira, Frankfürt am Main.
- Howell WM, Black DA. 1980. Controlled silver-staining of nucleolus organizer regions with a protective colloidal developer: a 1-step method. Experientia. 36: 1014-1015.
- Khawporntip W, Jantarat S, Supanuam P, Buatip S, Kraiprom T, Donbundit N, Thongnetr W, Tanomtong A. 2024. First Molecular Cytogenetics Report of Blue-headed Flying Lizard (*Draco volans*) outside Protected Area of Hala-bala Forest at Than To District, Yala Province, Thailand. Burapha Science Journal, 29(2), 782–792.
- Kritpetcharat O, Kritpetcharat C, Luangpirom A, Watcharanon P. 1999. Karyotype of four Agamidae species from the Phu Phan national park in Thailand. Sci. Asia, 25(4):185-188.
- Kubat Z, Hobza R, Vyskot B, Kejnovsky E. 2008. Microsatellite accumulation in the Y chromosome of *Silene latifolia*. Genome 51:350–356.
- Li Shu-shen, Wang Ying-xiang, Wang Rui-fang, Li Chong-yun, Liu Guang-zuo. 1981. A Karyotypical Study of *Japalura varcoae* (Boulenger). Zoological Research, 2(3): 223-228.
- Liu S, Hou M, Mo MZ, Rao DQ. 2020. A new species of the genus *Acanthosaura* (Squamata, Agamidae) from Yunnan, China, with comments on its conservation status. ZooKeys. 959:113–135.
- Liu S, Rao D, Hou M, Orlov NL, Ananjeva NB, Zhang D. 2022. Two new species of *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831 (Reptilia: Agamidae) from Yunnan province, China Russ J Herpetol. 29(2): 93–109. doi: 10.30906/1026-2296-2022-29-2-93-109.
- Manthey U. 2008. Agamid Lizards of Southern Asia-Agamen des südlichen Asien-Draconinae 1. Terralog. Vol. 7a, Edition Chimaira, Frankfürt am Main.
- Mezzasalma M, Guarino FM, Odierna G. 2021. Lizards as Model Organisms of Sex Chromosome Evolution: What We Really Know from a Systematic Distribution of Available Data? Genes. 12(1341).

- Mezzasalma M, Macirella R, Odierna G, Brunelli E. 2024. Karyotype Diversification and Chromosome Rearrangements in Squamate Reptiles. Genes. 15(371). doi: org/10.3390/genes15030371.
- O'Meally D, Miller H, Patel HR, Graves JA, Ezaz T. 2009. The first cytogenetic map of the tuatara, *Sphenodon punctatus*. Cytogenet Genome Res. 127:213–23.
- Ota, H. 1988. Karyotypic differentiation in an agamid lizard, *Japalura swinhonis swinhonis*. Experientia, 44(1):66-68.
- Ota H, Hikida T. 1989. Karyotypes of three species of the genus *Draco* (Agamidae: Lacertilia) from Sabah, Malaysia. Japan J. Herpetol. 13(1):1-6.
- Ota H, Diong CH, Tan EC, Yong HS. 2002. Karyotypes of four agamid lizards from Southeast Asia. Curr. Herpetol. 21(1):35-41.
- Patawang I, Pinthong K, Thongnetr W, Sornnok S, Kaewmad P, Tanomtong A. 2018. Additional description of karyotype and meiotic features of *Takydromus sexlineatus* (Squamata, Lacertidae) from Northeastern Thailand. The Nucleus 61(2):163-169.
- Patawang I, Prasopsin S, Suwannapoom C, Tanomtong A, Keawmad P, Thongnetr W. 2022. Chromosomal description of three *Dixonius* (Squamata, Gekkonidae) from Thailand. Caryologia 75(2):101-108.
- Patawang I, Tanomtong A, Chuaynkern Y, Chuaynkern C, Duengkae P. 2015. Karyotype homology between *Calotes versicolor* and *C. mystaceus* (Squamata, Agamidae) from northeastern Thailand. The Nucleus 58(2):117-123.
- Patawang I, Tanomtong A, Getlekha N, Phimphan S, Pinthong K, Neeratanaphan L. 2017. Standardized karyotype and idiogram of Bengal monitor lizard, *Varanus bengalensis* (Squamata, Varanidae). Cytologia 82(1):75-82.
- Patawang I, Tanomtong A, Kaewmad P, Chuaynkern Y, Duengkae P. 2016. New record on karyological analysis and first study of NOR localization of parthenogenetic brahminy blind snake, Ramphotyphlops braminus (Squamata, Typhlopidae) in Thailand. The Nucleus 59(1): 61-66.
- Prasopsin S., Muanglen,N, Ditcharoen S, Suwannapoom C, Tanomtong A, Thongnetr W. 2022. First Report on Classical and Molecular Cytogenetics of Doi Inthanon Benttoed Gecko, *Cyrtodactylus inthanon* Kunya et al., 2015 Squamata: Gekkonidae) in Thailand. Caryologia 75(2):109-117.
- Sassi FMC, Toma GA, Cioffi MB. 2023. "FISH—In fish chromosomes," in Cytogenetics and molecular cytogenetics. Editor T. Liehr (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press), 281–296.
- Sharma GP, Nakhasi U. 1980. Karyotypic homology and evolution of the Agamid lizards. Cytologia, 45(1-2): 211-219.

- Silva M, Pereira HS, Bento M, Santos AP, Shaw P, Delgado M, Neves N, Viegas W. 2008. Interplay of ribosomal DNA *loci* in nucleolar dominance: dominant NORs are upregulated by chromatin dynamics in the wheatrye system. PLoS ONE. 3. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0003824.
- Singh AK, Banerjee R. 2004. Chromosomal diversity of Indian mammals, amphibians and reptiles. Rec. zool. Surv. India 102(3-4):127-138.
- Solleder E, Schmid M. 1988. Cytogenetic studies on Sauria (Reptilia). I. Mitotic chromosomes of the Agamidae. Amphibia-reptilia 9(3):301-310.
- Srikulnath K, Matsubara K, Uno Y, Thongpan A, Suputtitada S, Apisitwanich S, Matsuda Y, Nishida C. 2009. Karyological characterization of the butterfly lizard (*Leiolepis reevesii rubritaeniata*, Agamidae, Squamata) by molecular cytogenetic approach. Cytogenet Genome Res, 125(3):213-223.
- Srikulnath K, Uno Y, Nishida C, Ota H, Matsuda Y. 2015. Karyotype reorganization in the Hokou gecko (*Gekko hokouensis*, Gekkonidae): the process of microchromosome disappearance in Gekkota. PLoS One. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0134829.
- Tautz D, Renz M.1984. Simple sequences are ubiquitous repetitive components of eukaryotic genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 25:4127–4138. doi: 10.1093/ nar/12.10.4127.
- Thongnetr W, Aiumsumang S, Tanomtong A, Phimphan S. 2022a. Classical chromosome features and microsatellites repeat in *Gekko petricolus* (Reptilia, Gekkonidae) from Thailand. Caryologia 75(2):81-88.
- Thongnetr W, Prasopsin S, Aiumsumang S, Ditcharoen S, Tanomtong A, Wongchantra P, Bunnaen W, Phimphan S. 2022b. First report of chromosome and karyological analysis of *Gekko nutaphandi* (Gekkonidae, Squamata) from Thailand: Neo-diploid chromosome number in genus *Gekko*. Caryologia 75(4): 103-109. doi: 10.36253/caryologia-1875.
- Thongnetr W, Tanomtong A, Prasopsin S, Maneechot N, Pinthong K, Patawang I. 2019. Cytogenetic study of the Bent-toed Gecko (Reptilia, Gekkonidae) in Thailand; I: Chromosomal classical features and NORs characterization of *Cyrtodactylus kunyai* and *C. interdigitalis*. Caryologia 72(1): 23-28. doi: 10.13128/cayologia-248.
- Trifonov VA, Paoletti A, Barucchi VC, Kalinina T, O'Brien PCM, Ferguson-Smith MA Giovannotti M. 2015. Comparative Chromosome Painting and NOR Distribution Suggest a Complex Hybrid Origin of Triploid Lepidodactylus lugubris (Gekkonidae). PLoS ONE. 10. doi: doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0132380.
- Trivalairat P, Sumontha M, Kunya K, Chiangkul K. 2022. Acanthosaura meridiona sp. nov. (Squamata:

Agamidae), a new short-horned lizard from southern Thailand. Herpetological Journal. 32, 34-50. doi: 10.33256/32.1.3450.

- Turpin R, Lejeune J. 1965. Les chromosomes humains (caryotype normal et variations pathologiques). Paris: Gauthier-Villars. [in France]
- Uetz P, Hallermann J. The Reptile Database. 1995-2024. [accessed 2024 Aug 15]. http://www.reptile-database. org/
- Waters PD, Patel HR, Ruiz-Herrera A, Álvarez-González L, Lister NC, Simakov O, Ezaz T, Kaur P, Frere C, Grutzner F, and et al. 2021. Microchromosomes are building blocks of bird, reptile, and mammal chromosomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 118(45). doi: 10.1073/pnas.2112494118.
- Wood PL Jr, Grismer LL, Grismer JL, Neang T, Chav T. Holden J. 2010. A new cryptic species of *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831 (Squamata: Agamidae) from Thailand and Cambodia. Zootaxa 2488, 22 – 38.
- Yano CF, Bertollo LA, Ezaz T, Trifonov V, Sember A, Liehr T. 2017. Highly conserved Z and molecularly diverged W chromosomes in the fish genus *Triportheus* (Characiformes, Triportheidae). Heredity 118, 276–283. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2016.83
- Young MJ, O'Meally D, Sarre SD, Georges A, Ezaz T. 2013. Molecular cytogenetic map of the central bearded dragon, Pogona vitticeps (Squamata: Agamidae). Chromosome Res. 21: 361–374. doi: 10.1007/ s10577-013-9362-z.
- Zongyun L, Mingqin G, Lu W, Ming C, Xiuqin W. 2004. Studies on spermary chromosomes and its meiosis of *Japalura splendida* Barbour and Dunn, 1919. Sichuan Dongwu. Sichuan J. Zool. 23(3):281-284.