

Advancing Vascular Access Services through Education, Consensus, and Clear Role Definitions

Timothy R. Spencer

RN, APRN, BHSc., Dip.App.Sc., GradCertIntCare, VA-BC™

President of World Congress on Vascular Access (WoCoVA), United States of America

Vascular Access is a critical component of modern healthcare, underpinning a myriad of medical procedures from administering intravenous medications and fluids to enabling hemodialysis, nutritional support and other complex parenteral therapies. Despite its significance, the realm of vascular access suffers from a lack of standardized educational programs and ambiguous role definitions, leading to suboptimal patient outcomes and increased healthcare costs. This brief editorial explores the pressing need for official educational programs in vascular access and advocates for clearer role definitions and accountabilities while fostering a collaborative interdisciplinary environment.

The Current Landscape of Vascular Access Education

The competency and proficiency required for safe and effective vascular access is not a trivial topic. It involves an in-depth understanding of anatomy, physiology, device selection, pre, intra and post-insertion techniques, as well as care and maintenance protocols to prevent avoidable complications. Currently, there is a significant variability in how many healthcare professionals are trained in these skills. This has become more prevalent in recent years with the increasing requirements for image-assisted procedures (e.g., Ultrasound, Near-Infrared Light and Transillumination).

This inconsistency leads to contrasting levels of competency among practitioners, directly impacting patient safety and both procedural and treatment efficacy. According to the Infusion Nurses Society (INS)¹ and the Association for Vascular Access (AVA)², standardized training programs could mitigate these disparities by

ensuring that all healthcare professionals meet a baseline proficiency level before performing vascular access procedures independently.

Evidence Supporting Structured Educational Programs

Research underscores the benefits of structured vascular access education. Current research has revealed that comprehensive training programs significantly reduced complication rates associated with central venous catheter insertions while other studies found that institutions with formal vascular access teams and education programs had lower incidence rates of catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSIs) and other associated complications compared to those without such programs.

The implementation of standardized educational programs can lead to uniformity in practice, reduce procedural errors, and enhance patient outcomes. Moreover, such programs can incorporate the latest evidence-based practices and technological advancements, ensuring that practitioners are up to date with current standards and innovations in vascular access³⁻¹⁵.

The Imperative for Official Educational Programs

The complexity and critical nature of vascular access procedures necessitate a high level of skill and knowledge. Despite this, there is a notable lack of standardized educational programs dedicated to vascular access across all clinical domains (medicine, nursing, etc.). This gap can lead to variability in practice, potentially compromising patient safety and care quality.

- **Establishing Standardized Educational Programs:** To address this, it is imperative to develop official educational programs that provide

comprehensive training in vascular access. These programs should cover a wide range of topics, including the selection and insertion of vascular access devices, management of infusion therapies, prevention of complications, and adherence to current evidence-based practices. By standardizing education, we ensure that all clinicians possess the requisite knowledge and skills to perform Vascular Access procedures safely and effectively.

- **Certification and Continuing Education:** In addition to initial training, certification programs should be established to validate the competencies of Vascular Access clinicians. Continuing education should also be mandated to keep clinicians abreast of the latest advancements and best practices in the field. This ongoing education is crucial for maintaining high standards of care and adapting to the evolving landscape of healthcare.

Building Consensus on Best Practices

The lack of consensus on best practices in vascular access further exacerbates the variability in care delivery. Different healthcare organizations may adopt varying protocols and guidelines, leading to inconsistencies that can affect patient outcomes.

- **Developing Consensus Guidelines:** To mitigate this, it is essential to develop consensus guidelines that are universally accepted and implemented across healthcare settings. These guidelines should be based on the latest evidence and expert recommendations, providing a standardized approach to Vascular Access procedures. By aligning practices, we can reduce variability and enhance the quality of care provided to patients.
- **Collaborative Efforts:** Achieving consensus requires collaboration among various stakeholders, including professional organizations, healthcare institutions, and regulatory bodies. Interprofessional collaboration is key to developing and disseminating these guidelines, ensuring that they are comprehensive and applicable to diverse clinical settings.

The Need for Consensus in Role Definitions and Accountabilities

One of the primary challenges in Vascular Access is the lack of standardized role definitions. This ambiguity may result in overlapping responsibilities, gaps in care, and system inefficiencies. While some healthcare organizations have downsized or eliminated specialized Vascular Access teams to

conserve facility resources, the evidence strongly suggests that these teams are instrumental in reducing healthcare-acquired complications, such as catheter-associated bloodstream infections (CABSI) and other device-related issues. To address this, it is imperative to establish clear and consistent role definitions for all members of the Vascular Access team. This may include physicians, physician assistants (PAs), pharmacists, registered nurses, advanced practice nurses, nurse practitioners, unlicensed assistive personnel, and registered respiratory therapists, nuclear medicine technologists or radiology technologists. Each role should have well-defined responsibilities that align with their scope of practice and competencies, ensuring that all team members can perform their duties competently, effectively and safely.¹⁶

Role Clarity and Its Impact on Patient Care

A well-defined role framework helps delineate the scope of practice for each team member, facilitating better coordination and communication. For instance, defining the roles of a Vascular Access nurse versus a physician can prevent duplication of efforts and ensure that each task is performed by the most qualified professional for the task at hand. Studies have shown that role clarity is associated with improved job satisfaction and reduced burnout among healthcare professionals, which in turn positively affects patient care and hospitals that implemented clear role definitions within their vascular access teams have reported higher staff morale and better patient outcomes.

Accountability and Patient Safety

Alongside clear role definitions, enhancing accountability is crucial for improving patient care outcomes. Accountability ensures that each team member is responsible for their actions and decisions, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and excellence. This can be achieved through several strategies:

- **Interprofessional Leadership:** Providing strong leadership for Vascular Access teams is essential. Leaders should promote evidence-based practices, clinical governance, staff development, and quality improvement activities. By doing so, they can ensure that all team members are aligned with the organization's goals and standards.
- **Competency Assessment:** Regular competency assessments are vital to ensure that all team members possess the necessary skills and knowledge to perform their roles effectively. This includes ongoing education and training to

keep up with the latest advancements in infusion therapy and vascular access.

- **Quality Improvement Initiatives:** Implementing quality improvement methods, such as failure mode and effects analysis (FMEA) and Lean Six Sigma, can help identify areas for improvement in patient care delivery and workflow processes. These initiatives should be integrated into the daily operations of Vascular Access teams to continuously enhance the quality of care provided.
- **Collaborative Decision-Making:** Encouraging a consultative approach rather than viewing team members solely as task performers can facilitate better communication and shared decision-making. This approach ensures that all team members are involved in the planning and execution of patient care, leading to more comprehensive and effective treatment plans.

Establishing accountability frameworks also aids in continuous quality improvement. By tracking performance metrics and outcomes related to specific roles, healthcare institutions can identify areas for improvement and implement targeted training or process changes.

Moving Forward: Recommendations for Implementing Educational Programs and Role Definitions

To address the challenges in vascular access, the following recommendations are proposed:

- **Development of National and International Standards:** Healthcare regulatory bodies should collaborate to develop comprehensive standards for vascular access education and role definitions. These standards should be evidence-based and reflect best practices in the field.
- **Implementation of Accredited Training Programs:** Institutions should adopt accredited training programs for all healthcare professionals involved in vascular access. These programs should include theoretical knowledge, practical skills, and competency assessments.
- **Clear Role Definitions and Accountabilities:** Healthcare organizations should establish clear role definitions and accountability frameworks for all members of the vascular access team. This should be accompanied by continuous education and training to ensure adherence to these roles.
- **Regular Audits and Quality Assurance:** Implementing regular audits and quality assurance processes can help maintain high

standards in vascular access procedures and promptly address any deviations from established protocols.

The creation of official educational programs and clear role definitions in Vascular Access is not merely an academic exercise but a practical necessity. Ensuring that healthcare professionals are adequately trained and that their roles are clearly defined can improve patient outcomes, enhance job satisfaction among staff, and reduce healthcare costs. It is imperative that stakeholders in healthcare leadership recognize the importance of this issue and take decisive action to implement these changes. The future of Vascular Access depends on a commitment to these foundational improvements.

The need for quality programs, robust consensus or recommendations on best practices, and clear role definitions and accountabilities within Vascular Access services is paramount. By addressing these gaps, the quality of care provided to patients can be enhanced, resource utilization optimized, and a collaborative healthcare environment fostered. As the field progresses, prioritizing these efforts is essential to ensure that Vascular Access teams can provide the highest standard of care to their patients. The journey towards excellence in Vascular Access is a collective one, requiring the commitment and collaboration of all stakeholders involved.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to infermieristica Editore Limited 2024.

References

1. Nickel B, Gorski L, Kleidon T, Kyes A, DeVries M, Keogh S, et al. Infusion therapy standards of practice. *J Infus Nurs.* 2024; S1-285.
2. Spencer TR, Bardin-Spencer A. Central venous access device insertion by qualified vascular access specialists or other applicable healthcare clinicians. *Journal of the Association for Vascular Access.* 2020 Mar; 25:52-5.
3. Morrow S, DeBoer E, Potter C, Gala S, Alsbrooks K. Vascular access teams: a global outlook on challenges, benefits, opportunities, and future perspectives. *Journal of the Association for Vascular Access.* 27:8-18.
4. Carr PJ, Moureau NL. Specialized vascular access teams. *Vessel health and preservation: the right approach for vascular access.* 2019:59-65.
5. Quinn M, Horowitz JK, Krein SL, Gaston A, Ullman A, Chopra V. The role of hospital-based vascular access teams and implications for patient safety: A multi-methods study. *J Hosp Med.* 2024; 19:13-23.
6. Cortes Rey N, Pinelli F, van Loon FH, Caguioa J, Munoz Mozas G, Piriou V, Teichgräber U, Lepelletier D, Mussa B. The state of vascular access teams: results of a European survey. *Int J Clin Pract Suppl.* 2021; 75:e14849.
7. Raynak A, Wood B. The Clinical Nurse Specialist Role and its Relevance to Vascular Access: A Canadian Perspective. *Journal of the Association for Vascular Access.* 2021; 26:25-30.
8. Lamperti M, Pittiruti M. *Organization of a hospital-based vascular access team.* In *Vascular Access in Neonates and Children.* 2022; (pp. 367-373). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
9. Mussa B, Pinelli F, Cortés Rey N, Caguioa J, Van Loon FH, Munoz Mozas G, et al. Qualitative interviews and supporting evidence to identify the positive impacts of multidisciplinary vascular access teams. *Hosp Pract.* 2021; 49:141-50.
10. Spencer TR, Bardin-Spencer AJ. Pre-and post-review of a standardized ultrasound-guided central venous catheterization curriculum evaluating procedural skills acquisition and clinician confidence. *The Journal of Vascular Access.* 2020; 440-8.
11. Helm RE, Klausner JD, Klemperer JD, Flint LM, Huang E. Accepted but unacceptable: peripheral IV catheter failure. *Journal of Infusion Nursing.* 2019; 42:151-64.
12. Alexandrou E, Spencer TR, Frost SA, Mifflin N, Davidson PM, Hillman KM. Central venous catheter placement by advanced practice nurses demonstrates low procedural complication and infection rates—a report from 13 years of service. *Crit Care Med.* 2014; 42:536-43.
13. Krein SL, Harrod M, Weston LE, Garlick BR, Quinn M, Fletcher KE, Chopra V. Comparing peripherally inserted central catheter-related practices across hospitals with different insertion models: a multisite qualitative study. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2021; 30:628-38.
14. Santos-Costa P, Paiva-Santos F, Sousa LB, Bernardes RA, Ventura F, Salgueiro-Oliveira A, Parreira P, Vieira M, Graveto J. Nursing Practices and Sensitive Outcomes Related to Peripheral Intravenous Catheterization in Portugal: A Scoping Review. *J Infus Nurs.* 2023; 46:162-76.
15. Heath M, Bernstein SJ, Paje D, McLaughlin E, Horowitz JK, McKenzie A, et al. Improving Appropriate Use of Peripherally Inserted Central Catheters Through a Statewide Collaborative Hospital Initiative: A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf.* 2024 Apr 10:S1553-7250(24)00102-8.
16. Bardin-Spencer AJ. A causal-comparative examination of CLABSI, vascular access teams and hospital types (Doctoral dissertation, Grand Canyon University), 2021.